## **Technical Appendix**

Lecture 4: Ideal probability metrics

#### Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev

Institute for Statistics and Mathematical Economics University of Karlsruhe

#### **Portfolio and Asset Liability Management**

Summer Semester 2008

# Copyright

These lecture-notes cannot be copied and/or distributed without permission.

The material is based on the text-book:

Svetlozar T. Rachev, Stoyan Stoyanov, and Frank J. Fabozzi Advanced Stochastic Models, Risk Assessment, and Portfolio Optimization: The Ideal Risk, Uncertainty, and Performance Measures

John Wiley, Finance, 2007

```
Prof. Svetlozar (Zari) T. Rachev
Chair of Econometrics, Statistics
and Mathematical Finance
School of Economics and Business Engineering
University of Karlsruhe
Kollegium am Schloss, Bau II, 20.12, R210
Postfach 6980, D-76128, Karlsruhe, Germany
Tel. +49-721-608-7535, +49-721-608-2042(s)
Fax: +49-721-608-3811
http://www.statistik.uni-karslruhe.de
```

- The two sets of conditions mentioned in the lecture are sufficient conditions. That is, if any of them holds, then the CLT is valid.
- In the literature, usually the condition of Lindeberg-Feller is given as a general sufficient condition for the CLT. However, the Lindeberg-Feller condition is equivalent to the asymptotic negligibility condition.
- We explained that asymptotic negligibility holds if the summands become negligible with respect to the total sum as their number increases. That is, none of the summands dominates and dictates the behavior of the total sum. We give a more precise formulation of this statement below.

크 에 비 크 어 !!

# The asymptotic negligibility condition

• Consider a sequence of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  and denote by  $S_n$  the sum

$$S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n.$$

 We do not assume that the distribution of the random variables is the same, meaning that the means and the variances of the random variables may differ. Denote by μ<sub>n</sub> the mean of the sum S<sub>n</sub> and by σ<sup>2</sup><sub>n</sub> the variance of the sum,

$$ES_n = EX_1 + \ldots + EX_n = \mu_n$$
$$DS_n = DX_1 + \ldots + DX_n = \sigma_n^2.$$

The asymptotic negligibility condition holds if

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} P\left(\frac{|X_j - \mu_n|}{\sigma_n} > \delta\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \to \infty \text{ for each } \delta > 0.$$
 (1)

The asymptotic relation (1) can be interpreted in the following way.

- The standard deviation σ<sub>n</sub> describes the variability of the total sum. The ratio |X<sub>j</sub> μ<sub>n</sub>|/σ<sub>n</sub> compares each of the terms in S<sub>n</sub> to the variability of the total sum and, thus, the probability in (1) measures the variability of each summand relative to the variability of the sum.
- Therefore, the asymptotic negligibility condition states that as the number of summands increases indefinitely, the most variable term in  $S_n$  is responsible for a negligible amount of the variability of the total sum.

# The asymptotic negligibility condition

- In the Generalized CLT, the condition (1) does not hold. In fact, the Lévy stable distributions, which are the limit distributions in the Generalized CLT, satisfy a property which is converse to the asymptotic negligibility condition.
- It states that the large deviations of a sum of i.i.d. Lévy stable random variables are due to, basically, one summand,

$$P(Y_1 + \ldots + Y_n > x) \sim P\left(\max_{1 \le k \le n} Y_k > x\right)$$

where  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. Lévy stable random variables.

 That is, the probability that the sum is large is approximately equal to the probability that one of the summands is large. This fact is a manifestation of the fundamental difference between the Lévy stable distributions and the normal distribution.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 回ト ・ 回ト …

## The necessary and sufficient condition

While the asymptotic negligibility condition is very general, it is not a necessary and sufficient condition. The CLT may hold even if it is violated. Next, we formulate the necessary and sufficient condition.

• Denote by S<sub>n</sub> the centered and normalized sum,

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{S}}_n = \mathsf{Y}_1 + \ldots + \mathsf{Y}_n = \frac{\mathsf{S}_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

where the summands  $Y_j = (X_j - EX_j)/\sigma_n$ .

• The CLT holds for the centered and normalized sum,  $\widetilde{S}_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \in N(0, 1)$ , if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{|\mathbf{x}|>\epsilon} |F_{\mathbf{Y}_{j}}(\mathbf{x}) - F_{\mathbf{Z}_{j}}(\mathbf{x})| |\mathbf{x}| d\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow 0, \qquad n \to \infty$$
(2)

where  $Z_j$  has a normal distribution with variance equal to the variance of  $Y_j$ ,

$$Z_j = \sigma_{Y_j} Z, \quad Z_j \in N\left(0, \sigma_{Y_j}^2\right).$$

2008 7/17

### The necessary and sufficient condition

- Thus, the absolute difference |F<sub>Yj</sub>(x) F<sub>Zj</sub>(x)| is between two distribution functions of random variables with equal scales. The expression in (2) sums up the deviations between the c.d.f.s of the summands Y<sub>j</sub> and the scaled normal distributions F<sub>Zi</sub>(x).
- The necessary and sufficient condition (2) has a more simple form if the random variables X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub>,... have equal distribution. Under this assumption, their means and variances are the same, EX<sub>j</sub> = μ and DX<sub>j</sub> = σ<sup>2</sup>. Then the sum in (2) disappears and we obtain that for every ε > 0,

$$\int_{|x|>\epsilon\sqrt{n}}|F_{\widetilde{X}_1}(x)-F_{Z}(x)||x|dx\to 0, \qquad n\to\infty$$
(3)

in which  $\widetilde{X}_1 = (X_1 - \mu)/\sigma$ . Note that as *n* increases, it is only the integration range that changes in (3).

Here we briefly mention a few general conditions which need to be satisfied in order for the ideal metrics considered to be finite.

 Suppose that the probability metric μ(X, Y) is a simple ideal metric of order r. The finiteness of μ(X, Y) guarantees equality of all moments up to order r,

$$\mu(X, Y) < \infty \implies E(X^k - Y^k) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n < r.$$

Conversely, if all moments k = 1, 2, ..., n < r agree and, in addition to this, the absolute moments of order r are finite, then metric μ(X, Y) is finite,</li>

$$\begin{array}{ll} EX^k = EY^k \\ E|X|^r < \infty & \implies & \mu(X, Y) < \infty \\ E|Y|^r < \infty & \end{array}$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., n < r.

# Remarks on ideal metrics

- The conditions which guarantee finiteness of the ideal metric μ are very important when investigating the problem of convergence in distribution of random variables in the context of the metric μ.
- Consider a sequence of random variables X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub>,... and a random variable X which satisfy the conditions,

$$EX_n^k = EX^k, \ \forall n, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, n < r$$

and

$$E|X|^r < \infty, \ E|X_n|^r < \infty, \ \forall n.$$

 For all known ideal metrics µ(X, Y) of order r > 0, given the above moment assumptions, the following holds: µ(X<sub>n</sub>, X) → 0 if and only if X<sub>n</sub> converges to X in distribution and the absolute moment of order r converge,

$$\mu(X_n, X) \to 0$$
 if and only if  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $E|X_n|^r \to E|X^r|$ .

This abstract result has the following interpretation.

- Suppose that X and Y describe the returns of two portfolios. Choose an ideal metric μ of order 3 < r < 4, for example. The convergence result above means that if μ(X, Y) ≈ 0, then both portfolios have very similar distribution functions and also they have very similar means, volatilities and skewness.
- Note that, generally, the c.d.f.s of two portfolios being "close" to each other does not necessarily mean that their moments will be approximately the same.
- It is of crucial importance which metric is chosen to measure the distance between the distribution functions. The ideal metrics have this nice property that they guarantee convergence of certain moments. Rachev(1991) provides an extensive review of the properties of ideal metrics and their application.

▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

#### 1. The Zolotarev ideal metric

Only a special case of the Zolotarev ideal metric was given in the lecture. The general form of the Zolotarev ideal metric is

$$\zeta_{s}(X, Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| F_{s,X}(x) - F_{s,Y}(x) \right| dx$$
(4)

where  $s=1,2,\ldots$  and

$$F_{s,X}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} dF_X(t)$$
(5)

• The Zolotarev metric  $\zeta_s(X, Y)$  is ideal of order r = s. Zolotarev(1997) provides more information.

### Remarks on ideal metrics

#### 2. The Rachev metric

The general form of the Rachev metric is

$$\zeta_{s,p,\alpha}(X,Y) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_{s,X}(x) - F_{s,Y}(x)|^p |x|^{\alpha p'} dx\right)^{1/p'}$$
(6)

where  $p' = \max(1, p), \alpha \ge 0, p \in [0, \infty]$ , and  $F_{s,X}(x)$  is defined in equation (5). If  $\alpha = 0$ , then the Rachev metric  $\zeta_{s,p,0}(X, Y)$  is ideal of order r = (s - 1)p/p' + 1/p'.

• Note that  $\zeta_{s,p,\alpha}(X, Y)$  can be represented in terms of lower partial moments,

$$\zeta_{s,p,\alpha}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| E(t-X)_{+}^{s} - E(t-X)_{+}^{s} \right|^{p} |t|^{\alpha p'} dt \right)^{1/p'}$$

The metric defined in equation (14) in the lecture arises from the metric in (6) when  $\alpha = 0$ ,

$$\zeta_{s,p}(X,Y) = \zeta_{s,p,0}(X,Y).$$

### 3. The Kolmogorov-Rachev metrics

The Kolmogorov-Rachev metrics arise from other ideal metrics by a process known as *smoothing*. Suppose the metric  $\mu$  is ideal of order  $0 \le r \le 1$ .

Consider the metric defined as

$$\mu_{s}(X,Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}} |h|^{s} \mu(X + hZ, X + hZ)$$
(7)

where *Z* is independent of *X* and *Y* and is a symmetric random variable  $Z \stackrel{d}{=} -Z$ .

 The metric μ<sub>s</sub>(X, Y) defined in this way is ideal of order r = s. Note that while (7) always defines an ideal metric of order s, this does not mean that the metric is finite. The finiteness of μ<sub>s</sub> should be studied for every choice of the metric μ.

### Remarks on ideal metrics

For example, suppose that μ(X, X) is the total variation metric σ(X, Y) defined in (17) in Lecture 3 "Probability metrics" and Z has the standard normal distribution, Z ∈ N(0, 1). We calculate that

$$\sigma_{s}(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}} |h|^{s} \sigma(X + hZ, X + hZ)$$
  
$$= \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}} |h|^{s} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_{X}(x) - f_{Y}(x)| \frac{f_{Z}(x/h)}{h} dx$$
  
$$= \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}} |h|^{s} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_{X}(x) - f_{Y}(x)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h^{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2h^{2}}} dx$$
(8)

in which we use the explicit form of the standard normal density,  $f_Z(u) = \exp(-u^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}, \ u \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

 Note that the absolute difference between the two densities of X and Y in (8) is averaged with respect to the standard normal density. This is why the Kolmogorov-Rachev metrics are also called smoothing metrics.

- The Kolmogorov-Rachev metrics are applied in estimating the convergence rate in the Generalized CLT and other limit theorems.
- *Rachev* and *Rüschendorf*(1998) and *Rachev*(1991) provide more background and further details on the application in limit theorems.

Svetlozar T. Rachev, Stoyan Stoyanov, and Frank J. Fabozzi Advanced Stochastic Models, Risk Assessment, and Portfolio Optimization: The Ideal Risk, Uncertainty, and Performance Measures

John Wiley, Finance, 2007.

Chapter 4.